

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff

v.

CRIMINAL 07-0547 (ADC)

[5] ABIMAEI CRUZ-DE-LOS-SANTOS,

Defendant

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
RE: RULE 11(c)(1)(A) AND (B) PROCEEDINGS (PLEA OF GUILTY)

I. Personal Background

On June 3, 2008, the defendant herein, was charged in an eight-count superseding indictment. Defendant agrees to plea guilty to count one of the indictment.

Count one charges defendant, and 120 other defendants, of knowingly and intentionally conspire, combine, and agree together and with each other and others known and unknown to Grand Jury, to commit offenses against the United States, that is, to possess with intent to distribute one kilogram or more of heroin, a Schedule I, Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; 50 grams or more of cocaine base (hereinafter referred to as "crack"), a Schedule II, Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; five kilograms or more of cocaine, a Schedule II, Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance, and 100 kilograms or more of a substance containing a detectable amount of marihuana, a Schedule I, Controlled Substance, within 1,000 feet of the real property comprising a housing facility owned by a public housing authority, that is, the Jardines de Sellés and El Prado Public Housing Projects located in San Juan, Puerto Rico and Las Flores and Liborio Ortiz Public Housing projects located in Aibonito, Puerto Rico. All in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a)(1), and 860.

II. Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge

CRIMINAL 07-0547 (ADC)

2

Today, while assisted by John Ward-Llambias, Esq., the defendant, by consent, appeared before me in order to change his previous not guilty plea to a plea of guilty as to count one of the indictment.

In open court the defendant was questioned as to the purpose of the hearing being held. The defendant responded that the purpose of the hearing was to plead guilty. The defendant was advised of his right to have all proceedings, including the change of plea hearing, before a United States district judge. Defendant was given notice of: (a) the nature and purpose of the hearing; (b) the fact that all inquiries were to be conducted under oath and that it was expected that his answers would be truthful (he was also explained that the consequences of lying under oath could lead to a perjury charge); and (c) his right to have the change of plea proceedings presided over by a district judge instead of a magistrate judge. The defendant was also explained the differences between the appointment and functions of the two. The defendant consent to proceed before this magistrate judge.

III. Proceedings Under Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

A. Compliance With Requirements Rule 11(c)(1)

Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure governs the acceptance of guilty pleas to federal criminal violations. Pursuant to Rule 11, in order for a plea of guilty to constitute a valid waiver of the defendant's right to trial, guilty pleas must be knowing and voluntary: "Rule 11 was intended to ensure that a defendant who pleads guilty does so with an 'understanding of the nature of the charge and consequences of his plea.'" United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d 1, 4 (1st Cir. 1995) (quoting McCarthy v. United States, 394 U.S. 459, 467 (1969)). [There are three core concerns in these proceedings]: 1) absence of coercion; 2) understanding of the charges; and 3) knowledge of the consequences of the guilty plea. United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d at 4 (citing United States v. Allard, 926 F.2d 1237, 1244-45 (1st Cir. 1991)).

United States v. Hernández-Wilson, 186 F.3d 1, 5 (1st Cir. 1999).

In response to further questioning, defendant was explained and he understood that if convicted on count one, he may be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of not less than 10 years and up to life imprisonment, a fine not to exceed \$8,000,000, and a term of supervised release of at least 10 years, all pursuant 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(b)(1)(A) and 860.

Based on the stipulated and agreed amount of narcotics possessed by the defendant, that is, at least 3.5 kilograms but less than five kilograms of cocaine, the penalty for the offense shall be, a term

CRIMINAL 07-0547 (ADC)

3

of imprisonment of not less than five years and not more than 80 years, a fine not to exceed \$4,000,000, and a term of supervised release of at least eight years, all pursuant to 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(b)(1)(B) and 860.

Prior to defendant's change of plea hearing, he shall pay a special assessment of \$100, per count of conviction.

The defendant is aware that the court may order him to pay a fine sufficient to reimburse the government for the costs of any imprisonment, probation or supervised release. The defendant is aware that in some instances, the court may impose restitution to the victim. As part of this plea agreement, the defendant agrees to produce complete information regarding all restitution victims and defendant agrees to execute a financial statement to the United States. The United States will make no recommendations as to the imposition of fines or restitution.

Defendant was advised that the ultimate sentence was a matter solely for the court to decide in its discretion and that, even if the maximum imprisonment term and fine were to be imposed upon him, he later could not withdraw his guilty plea if he was unhappy with the sentence of the court. The defendant understood this.

Defendant was explained what the supervised release term means. It was emphasized that cooperation with the United States Probation officer would assist the court in reaching a fair sentence.

Emphasis was made on the fact that at this stage, no prediction or promises as to the sentence to be imposed could be made by anyone. Defendant responded to questions in that no promises, threats, inducements or predictions as to what sentence will be imposed have been made to him.

B. Admonishment of Constitutional Rights

To assure defendant's understanding and awareness of his rights, defendant was advised of his right:

1. To remain silent at trial and be presumed innocent, since it is the government who has the burden of proving his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

1 CRIMINAL 07-0547 (ADC)

4

2
3 2. To testify or not to testify at trial, and that no comment could be made by the prosecution
4 in relation to his decision not to testify.

5 3. To a speedy trial before a district judge and a jury, at which he would be entitled to see and
6 cross examine the government witnesses, present evidence on his behalf, and challenge the
7 government's evidence.

8 4. To have a unanimous verdict rendered by a jury of twelve persons which would have to be
9 convinced of defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt by means of competent evidence.

10 5. To use the subpoena power of the court to compel the attendance of witnesses.

11 Upon listening to the defendant's responses, observing his demeanor and his speaking with his
12 attorney, that to the best of counsel's belief defendant had fully understood his rights, it is determined
13 that defendant is aware of his constitutional rights.

14 **C. Consequences of Pleading Guilty**

15 Upon advising defendant of his constitutional rights, he was further advised of the consequences
16 of pleading guilty. Specifically, defendant was advised that by pleading guilty and upon having his
17 guilty plea accepted by the court, he will be giving up the above rights and would be convicted solely
18 on his statement that he is guilty.

19 Furthermore, the defendant was admonished of the fact that by pleading guilty he would not be
20 allowed later on to withdraw his plea because he eventually might disagree with the sentence imposed,
21 and that when he were under supervised release, and upon violating the conditions of such release, that
22 privilege could be revoked and he could be required to serve an additional term of imprisonment. He
23 was also explained that parole has been abolished.

24 **D. Plea Agreement**

25 The parties have entered into a written plea agreement that, upon being signed by the
26 government, defense attorney and defendant, was filed and made part of the record. Defendant was
27 clearly warned and recognized having understood that:
28

1 CRIMINAL 07-0547 (ADC)

5

2
3 1. The plea agreement is not binding upon the sentencing court.

4 2. The plea agreement is an "agreement" between the defendant, defense attorney and the
5 attorney for the government which is presented as a recommendation to the court in regards to the
6 applicable sentencing adjustments and guidelines, which are advisory.

7 3. The agreement provides a sentencing recommendation and/or anticipated sentencing
8 guideline computation, that can be either accepted or rejected by the sentencing court.

9 4. In spite of the plea agreement and any sentencing recommendation contained therein, the
10 sentencing court retains full discretion to reject such plea agreement and impose any sentence up to the
11 possible maximum penalty prescribed by statute.

12 5. The defendant understands that if the court accepts this agreement and sentences defendant
13 according to its terms and conditions, defendant waives and surrenders his right to appeal the conviction
14 and sentence in this case.

15 Defendant acknowledged having understood this explanation.

16 **E. Government's Evidence (Basis in Fact)**

17 The government presented a proffer of its evidence with which the defendant basically
18 concurred.

19 Accordingly, it is determined that there is a basis in fact and evidence to establish all elements
20 of the offense charged.

21 **F. Voluntariness**

22 The defendant accepted that no leniency had been promised, no threats had been made to induce
23 him to plead guilty and that he did not feel pressured to plead guilty. He came to the hearing for the
24 purpose of pleading guilty and listened attentively as the prosecutor outlined the facts which it would
25 prove if the case had proceeded to trial.

26 **IV. Conclusion**

27

28

CRIMINAL 07-0547 (ADC)

6

The defendant, by consent, has appeared before me pursuant to Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and has entered a plea of guilty as to count one of the indictment.

After cautioning and examining the defendant under oath and in open court, concerning each of the subject matters mentioned in Rule 11, as described in the preceding sections, I find that the defendant is competent to enter this guilty plea, is aware of the nature of the offense charged and the maximum statutory penalties that the same carries, understands that the charge is supported by the government's evidence, has admitted to every element of the offense charged, and has done so in an intelligent and voluntary manner with full knowledge of the consequences of his guilty plea.

Therefore, I recommend that the court accept the guilty plea of the defendant and that the defendant be adjudged guilty as to count one of the indictment. At sentencing, the United States agrees to recommend the dismissal of the remaining counts against the defendant.

This report and recommendation is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Rule 72(d) of the Local Rules of Court. Any objections to the same must be specific and must be filed with the Clerk of Court within five (5) days of its receipt. Rule 510.1, Local Rules of Court; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Failure to timely file specific objections to the report and recommendation is a waiver of the right to review by the district court. United States v. Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4 (1st Cir. 1986).

At San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 16th day of September, 2010.

S/ JUSTO ARENAS
Chief United States Magistrate Judge